

DAC/17/3/100 # 07

### **PATENT**

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Jian Qin et al.

Application No.: 08/759,108 Filed: December 2, 1996

For: ABSORBENT COMPOSITION

Group No.: 1713 Examiner: M. Reddick

> RECEIVED AUG 2 2 2001

OFFICE OF FEMILE IS

Box DAC
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
ATTENTION: Petition Information
Crystal Park One, Suite 520

## PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

- 1. This application became abandoned on March 8, 2001.
- 2. This application became abandoned because the failure to prosecute was an unintentional delay. The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date until the filing of this petition was unintentional 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3). We believe the unintentional delay resulted from the following circumstances. I am the responsible attorney for this case. My normal procedure for ensuring that we respond to office actions is to review a docket report that has been marked up by the administrative assistant that I work with. The docket report includes our internal case numbers, a description of the actions that are required, and the dates by which the described actions must be taken. Before I see the report, the administrative assistant marks the report to identify office actions or other tasks that I must address. Items that have already been completed are checked off so that I don't have to spend time looking at a completed item.

Because of surgery and subsequent rehabilitation, the full-time administrative assistant that I work with was out of the office from 30 January 2001 to 26 March 2001. Three temporary office workers filled in at various times during her absence. At some point the listing corresponding to this case on the docket report was checked off as completed, with the words "filed 1/4/01" appended to the listing. In other words, someone erroneously thought that the filing of the *notice of appeal*—which was mailed on 1/4/01—corresponded to our filing an *appeal brief*. Accordingly, I believed that the appeal brief in this case had been filed--until Examiner Reddick called on 10 August 2001 and pointed out our error.

I was not in the office to receive Examiner Reddick's call because I was away on vacation. When I returned on Tuesday, 14 August 2001, I called Ms. Reddick and left a voice mail. She returned my call

on Wednesday, 15 August 2001, and confirmed that the case was abandoned. We reviewed our files and pieced together the circumstances by which we unintentionally committed the above docketing error.

3.	Response or action req	sponse or action required			
		has been filed.			
	ΙΧ	is attached.			
4.	Fee (37 C.F.R. 1.17(m)	)			
Αŗ	oplication status is:				
	[]	Small business entityfee	\$620.00	RECEIVED	
	[]	A statement is attached.		AUG 2 2 2001	
	[]	A statement was filed.		OFFICE OF PETITIONS	
	[X]	Other than small entityfe	ee \$1,240.00		
5.	Payment of fee				
	; [X]	Authorization is hereb	by made to charge the amount o	of	
		[ ] \$620.00. [X]	\$1,240.00.		
	[X]	to Deposit Account No	o. 11-0875		
	. []	to Credit Card as shown form PTO-2038.	wn on the attached credit inform	nation authorization	
		A duplicate of this pet	ition is attached.		
	Date: 17 August 2001	that aband Sebastian ( (type or pro 65 Cresty) Residence	of person making statement conment was due to an unintentional configuration.  C. Pugliese III int name of person making statement in the person making statement of person making statement of person making statement of which is the person making statement of the person making statement	·	

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No.: 42,091

Tel. No.: (920) 721-2747

Sebastian C. Pugliese III

Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc. P.O. Box 349 Neenah, Wisconsin 54957-0349



### **PATENT**

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Jian Qin et al.

Serial No.:

08/759,108

Group No:

1713

Filed:

12/02/1996

Examiner:

M. Reddick

For:

ABSORBENT COMPOSITION

RECEIVED

AUS 2 2 2001

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

OFFICE OF FETITIONS

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)** 

I hereby certify that the attached correspondence comprising:

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b)

Is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

> **Assistant Commissioner for Patents** Washington, D.C. 20231

on: August 17, 2001

Lanette Burton

(Type or print name of person mailing paper)

(Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) [8-21])